DiffCSP: Finding Browser Bugs in Content Security Policy Enforcement through Differential Testing

Seongil Wi*, Trung Tin Nguyen[†], Jihwan Kim*, Ben Stock[†], Sooel Son^{*}

*KAIST +CISPA Helmholtz Center for Information Security

NDSS 2023



Cross-Site Scripting (XSS) Attacks



Content Security Policy (CSP)





Content Security Policy (CSP)

A browser-enforced security mechanism





Content Security Policy (CSP)

A browser-enforced security mechanism



CSP Ecosystem



6





CSP Ecosystem



7



CISPA

HELMHOLTZ CENTER FO

Web Security

& Privacy Lab

Our Research Question



What if developers <u>misunderstand or</u> <u>misimplement the CSP specification</u>?



CSP Enforcement Bugs



Web Security Privacy Lab

CSP Enforcement Bugs



CISPA

E HANN

Web Security & Privacy Lab

CSP Enforcement Bugs

Allow adversaries to bypass CSPs and execute adversarial JS snippets





Recent Studies – Insecure CSP Deployment



Web Security

Recent Studies – CSP Enforcement Bugs



Our Goal: Finding CSP enforcement bugs regarding JS execution







Generating diverse inputs





Generating diverse inputs

Implementing bug oracles



CISPA

Web Security & Privacy Lab

Challenge: Implementing Bug Oracles



Web Security

Challenge: Implementing Bug Oracles



The manual identification of correct behaviors is not scalable



Implementing bug oracles

Identifying root causes





How do we address all the challenges?



We propose

DiffCSP



Our Goal: Finding CSP Enforcement Bugs



Generating Diverse Inputs in DiffCSP

















Generate 25,880 HTML instances

CSP Generation



Generate 1,006 policies

Implementing Bug Oracles in DiffCSP

Grammar-based input generation

Differential testing as a bug oracle

Identifying root causes



Differential Testing as a Bug Oracle



Differential Testing as a Bug Oracle





Differential Testing as a Bug Oracle


Differential Testing as a Bug Oracle



Avoid modelling the correct behaviors!



Differential Testing as a Bug Oracle

Differential testing

Grammar-based input generation



Identifying Root Causes in DiffCSP



Identifying Root Causes in DiffCSP





inconsistent results

We need to cluster the results!

Under what conditions are they clustered together?

Decision tree-based root cause analysis













Web Security

4,000,000 cases





We analyzed **only 525 paths** to pinpoint the root causes













Evaluation



Experimental Setup

Target browsers: eight popular browsers



CISPA

HELMHOLTZ CENTER FO

Web Security

& Privacy Lab

Experimental Setup

Target browsers: eight popular browsers



55

CISPA

HELMHOLTZ CENTER FOR

Web Security

& Privacy Lab

Bugs Found

- Found 37 CSP enforcement bugs in three browser engines with 4M inconsistent results
 - # of security bugs: 27
 - # of specification bugs: 3
 - # of functional bugs: 7

We reported 27 security bugs resulting from vendor's mistakes
 – 23 bugs have been patched (12 bugs were patched due to our report)

Google rewarded with \$4,000!





Root Causes

| | \bigcirc | 6 | A CONTRACTOR OF A CONTRACTOR O |
|---|------------|-------------------------------|--|
| Incorrect CSP inheritance | 2 | 0 | 6 |
| Incorrect hash handling | 1 | 0 | 2 |
| Non-ignored directive values | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Non-supporting specific directives | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| Non-supporting specific directive values | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| Auto-enabling directive values by default | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Auto-enabling directive values on specific conditions | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Non-supporting CSP for specific status code | 1 | 0 | 0 |
| Incorrect handling of malformed CSPs | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Allowing out-going request | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| 57 | KAIST | Web Security & Privacy Lab | HELMHOLTZ CENTER INFORMATION SECU |

Case Study: Non-ignored CSP Values

CSP3 specification

✓ unsafe-inline should be ignored when strict-dynamic is specified



58





Case Study: Non-ignored CSP Values

Case Study: Non-ignored CSP Values

Lesson #1: Complex CSP Specification

-CSP Level 1, 2012

-CSP Level 2, 2014 + hash handling, nonce handling, ...

-CSP Level 3, 2015 + 'strict-dynamic', 'unsafe-hashes', ...

Lesson #1: Complex CSP Specification

-CSP Level 1, 2012

-CSP Level 2, 2014 + hash handling, nonce handling, ...

-CSP Level 3, 2015 + 'strict-dynamic', 'unsafe-hashes', ...

CSP specification

 Documents from *local schemes* will **inherit the CSP** of their parent page

CSP script-src 'nonce-123' HTML <iframe src="javascript:attack()"> </iframe>

CSP specification

 Documents from *local schemes* will **inherit the CSP** of their parent page

CSP specification

Documents from *local schemes* will **inherit the CSP** of their parent page

66

CSP specification

 ✓ Documents from *local schemes* will inherit the CSP of their parent page

CSP

script-src 'nonce-123'

HTML

```
<iframe id="z" src="tmp.html" />
<script nonce=123>
    z.addEventListener("load",() => {
        z.src="javascript:attack()"
        ;});
</script>
```


Lesson #2: Page Redirection

CSP specification

 Documents from *local schemes* will inherit the CSP of their parent page

28% bugs are caused by page redirection!

Limitations

 DiffCSP cannot find a bug if all the browsers exhibit the same bug

 DiffCSP cannot find a bug if there exist unknown HTML forms of executing JS snippets

| Ē | WSP-LAB | / DiffCSP | Public |
|---|---------|-----------|--------|
|---|---------|-----------|--------|

Code Issues 0 Pull requests 0 Actions Projects 0

<u>https://github.com/WSP-LAB/DiffCSP</u> ၂ကြ



- We propose DiffCSP, the differential testing framework designed to identify CSP enforcement bugs
 - -We propose an HTML grammar to generate diverse test inputs
 - -We conduct differential testing to identify the correct behavior
 - -We leverage <u>decision trees</u> to pinpoint the root causes for erroneous CSP enforcement

• We found 29 security bugs and eight functional bugs



- We propose DiffCSP, the differential testing framework designed to identify CSP enforcement bugs
 - -We propose an HTML grammar to generate diverse test inputs
 - -We conduct differential testing to identify the correct behavior
 - -We leverage <u>decision trees</u> to pinpoint the root causes for erroneous CSP enforcement

• We found 29 security bugs and eight functional bugs





- We propose DiffCSP, the differential testing framework designed to identify CSP enforcement bugs
 - -We propose an HTML grammar to generate diverse test inputs
 - -We conduct differential testing to identify the correct behavior
 - -We leverage <u>decision trees</u> to pinpoint the root causes for erroneous CSP enforcement

• We found 29 security bugs and eight functional bugs



- We propose DiffCSP, the differential testing framework designed to identify CSP enforcement bugs
 - -We propose an HTML grammar to generate diverse test inputs
 - -We conduct differential testing to identify the correct behavior
 - -We leverage <u>decision trees</u> to pinpoint the root causes for erroneous CSP enforcement

• We found 29 security bugs and eight functional bugs

Thank you! Questions?

77



- We propose DiffCSP, the differential testing framework designed to identify CSP enforcement bugs
 - -We propose an HTML grammar to generate diverse test inputs
 - -We conduct differential testing to identify the correct behavior
 - -We leverage <u>decision trees</u> to pinpoint the root causes for erroneous CSP enforcement

• We found 29 security bugs and eight functional bugs



- We propose DiffCSP, the differential testing framework designed to identify CSP enforcement bugs
 - -We propose an HTML grammar to generate diverse test inputs
 - -We conduct differential testing to identify the correct behavior
 - -We leverage <u>decision trees</u> to pinpoint the root causes for erroneous CSP enforcement

• We found 29 security bugs and eight functional bugs

- We propose DiffCSP, the differential testing framework designed to identify CSP enforcement bugs
 - -We propose an HTML grammar to generate diverse test inputs
 - -We conduct differential testing to identify the correct behavior
 - -We leverage <u>decision trees</u> to pinpoint the root causes for erroneous CSP enforcement

• We found 29 security bugs and eight functional bugs

Thank you! Questions?

80



- We propose DiffCSP, the differential testing framework designed to identify CSP enforcement bugs
 - -We propose an HTML grammar to generate diverse test inputs
 - -We conduct differential testing to identify the correct behavior
 - -We leverage <u>decision trees</u> to pinpoint the root causes for erroneous CSP enforcement

• We found 29 security bugs and eight functional bugs

Thank you! Questions?

81



- We propose DiffCSP, the differential testing framework designed to identify CSP enforcement bugs
 - -We propose an HTML grammar to generate diverse test inputs
 - -We conduct differential testing to identify the correct behavior
 - -We leverage <u>decision trees</u> to pinpoint the root causes for erroneous CSP enforcement

• We found 29 security bugs and eight functional bugs







SPA

C |

HELMHOLTZ CE

Web Security

& Privacy Lab

KAI5





A. T. T.

Web Security & Privacy Lab

KAIS

CI

SPA

HELMHOLTZ CENTER FOR

More in the Paper

CSP generation

JS category

- -Executing inline JS
- -Evaluating string
- -Dynamically fetching JS
- -Redirecting to scheme
- -Expanding document
- -Writing to opened document

HTML category

- -Executing inline JS in script tag
- -Fetching JS in script tag
- -Redirecting to scheme
- -Executing inline JS in event handler
- -Writing to frame
- -Changing location of iframe
- -Evaluating string via frame's function
- -Expanding document





Grammar-based Input Generation



Grammar-based Input Generation



Grammar-based Input Generation



More in the Paper – CSP generation



Generate 1,006 policies

Content Security Problems?, CCS '16



90

Content Security Problems?, CCS '16



Content Security Problems?, CCS '16



Implementing bug oracles Identifying root causes

Found only one CSP bug involving JS execution

92

Testing HTMLs



Evaluation – Decision Tree



KAIS

FILM

Web Security & Privacy Lab

Lesson #3: Specification Bugs

CSP specification

✓ When a CSP contains non-ASCI characters, the whole policy should be discarded

CSP

script-src http://a.com http://<mark>7</mark>.com

HTML

i<script>attack()</script>

Expected behavior: Whole policy should be discarded





Lesson #3: Specification Bugs

CSP specification

✓ When a CSP contains non-ASCI characters, the whole policy should be discarded

CSP

script-src http://a.com http://<mark>7</mark>.com

HTML

<script>attack()</script>

Expected behavior:

Whole policy should be discarded→ Inline script should be allowed?



Lesson #3: Specification Bugs



97

CSP specification

 ✓ Sources from a local scheme (e.g., javascript) should <u>inherit</u> <u>the CSP of their parent page</u>

CSP

script-src <mark>'nonce-123'</mark>

HTML

```
<iframe id="z" src="tmp.html" />
<script nonce=123>
    z.addEventListener("load",() => {
        z.src="javascript:attack()"
        ;});
</script>
```

Expected behavior: The nonce-protected JS will be allowed → Inline script should be blocked





98

CSP specification

 ✓ Documents from *local schemes* will inherit the CSP of their parent page

CSP

script-src 'nonce-123'

HTML

```
<iframe id="z" src="tmp.html" />
<script nonce=123>
    z.addEventListener("load",() => {
        z.src="javascript:attack()"
        ;});
</script>
```





CSP specification

 ✓ Sources from a local scheme (e.g., javascript) should <u>inherit</u> the CSP of their parent page









102

CSP specification

 ✓ Sources from a local scheme (e.g., javascript) should <u>inherit</u> <u>the CSP of their parent page</u>

CSP

script-src <mark>'nonce-123'</mark>

HTML

```
<iframe id="z" src="tmp.html" />
<script nonce=123>
    z.addEventListener("load",() => {
        z.src="javascript:attack()"
        ;});
</script>
```

Expected behavior: The nonce-protected JS will be allowed





103

CSP specification

 ✓ Sources from a local scheme (e.g., javascript) should <u>inherit</u> <u>the CSP of their parent page</u>

CSP

script-src <mark>'nonce-123'</mark>

HTML

```
<iframe id="z" src="tmp.html" />
<script nonce=123>
    z.addEventListener("load",() => {
        z.src="javascript:attack()"
        ;});
</script>
```

Expected behavior: The nonce-protected JS will be allowed → Inline script should be blocked





Decision Tree-based Root Cause Analysis



Decision Tree-based Root Cause Analysis



Decision Tree-based Root Cause Analysis



Root Causes

| Incorrect CSP inheritance | | 2 | 0 | | 6 | |
|---|--|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----|--------------------------------------|--|
| Incorrect hash handling | | Execute an arbitrary | | | | |
| Non-ignored directive values | | JS code (97%) | | | | |
| Non-supporting specific directives | | | | | 0 | |
| Non-supporting specific directive values | | 0 | | | | |
| Auto-enabling directive values by default | | | | | | |
| Auto-enabling directive values on specific conditions | | Sending a request to an | | | | |
| Non-supporting CSP for specific status code | | arbitrar | ry endpoir | | nt (3%) | |
| Incorrect handling of malformed CSPs | | | 1 | | 0 | |
| Allowing out-going request | | 1 | | | | |
| 107 | | KAIST | Web Securi & Privacy | ity | HELMHOLTZ CENTER INFORMATION SECU | |